Document Description: Petition for Review by the Office of Petitions

PTO/SB/64.407-09; Approved for use through 07/31/2012. OMB 0651-0031

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

PETITION FOR REVIVAL OF AN APPLICATION FOR PATENT Docket Number (Optional) **ABANDONED UNINTENTIONALLY UNDER 37 CFR 1.137(b)** First named inventor: Zachariah J. Baum Application No.: 10, 662230 Art Unit: 2162 JUN 0 4 2010 Filed: September 15, 2010 Examiner: Colan, Giovanna SPAR Title: JUN 0 4 2010 System and Method for Recommendation of Media Segments Attention: Office of Petitions **Mail Stop Petition** Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 FAX (571) 273-8300 NOTE: If information or assistance is needed in completing this form, please contact Petitions Information at (571) 272-3282. The above-identified application became abandoned for failure to file a timely and proper reply to a notice or action by the United States Patent and Trademark Office. The date of abandonment is the day after the expiration date of the period set for reply in the office notice or action plus any extensions of time actually obtained. APPLICANT HEREBY PETITIONS FOR REVIVAL OF THIS APPLICATION NOTE: A grantable petition requires the following items: (1) Petition fee; (2) Reply and/or issue fee; Terminal disclaimer with disclaimer fee - required for all utility and plant applications filed before June 8, 1995; and for all design applications; and (4) Statement that the entire delay was unintentional

I. P	etit	tion Fee						
V	Small entity-fee \$		(37 CFR 1.17(m)).	Application cla	aims small entity status.	See 37 (	CFR 1.27.	
		Other than small entity-fee \$	(37	7 CFR 1.17(m)	)			
2. F	Repl	ly and/or fee  A. The reply and/or fee to	the above-noted Off	fice action in				
	the form of three-page		etter	(	identify type of reply):			
		has been filed p	•		06/04/2010 MBLANCO1	66666624	18662230	
		<ul><li>is enclosed here</li><li>B. The issue fee and public</li></ul>		able) of \$	06/04/2010 NBCANCOL	900000L 1	810.00 OP	
		has been paid p	,	ι <b>οιο</b>				
		is enclosed her	ewith.					

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.137(b). The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 1.0 hour to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Mail Stop Petition, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

[Page 1 of 2]

PTO/SB/64 (07-09)

Approved for use through 07/31/2012. OMB 0651-0031

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number

3. Terminal disclaimer with disclaimer fee					
Since this utility/plant application was filed on	or after June 8, 1995, no terminal disclaimer is required.				
A terminal disclaimer (and disclaimer fee (37 other than a small entity) disclaiming the requ	CFR 1.20(d)) of \$ for a small entity or \$ for uired period of time is enclosed herewith (see PTO/SB/63).				
grantable petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b) was uninter	red reply from the due date for the required reply until the filing of a ntional. [NOTE: The United States Patent and Trademark Office may to whether either the abandonment or the delay in filing a petition 1.03(c), subsections (III)(C) and (D)).]				
to identity theft. Personal information such as social security check or credit card authorization form PTO-2038 submitted petition or an application. If this type of personal information should consider redacting such personal information from the advised that the record of a patent application is available to request in compliance with 37 CFR 1.213(a) is made in the abandoned application may also be available to the public if (see 37 CFR 1.14). Checks and credit card authorization for	WARNING:  all information in documents filed in a patent application that may contribute ity numbers, bank account numbers, or credit card numbers (other than a d for payment purposes) is never required by the USPTO to support a on is included in documents submitted to the USPTO, petitioners/applicants he documents before submitting them to the USPTO. Petitioner/applicant is to the public after publication of the application (unless a non-publication application) or issuance of a patent. Furthermore, the record from an if the application is referenced in a published application or an issued patent forms PTO-2038 submitted for payment purposes are not retained in the				
application file and therefore are not publicly available.	12/25/89				
Signature  Zachariah J. Baum  Type or Printed name	Date  Registration Number, If applicable				
243 Madison Street Address	415 336 - 9484 Telephone Number				
San trancisco, CA 941 Address	34				
Enclosures:					
Reply					
Terminal Disclaimer Form					
Additional sheets containing	statements establishing unintentional delay				
Other:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
CERTIFICATE OF MAILING OR TRANSMISSION [37 CFR 1.8(a)]  I hereby certify that this correspondence is being:  Deposited with the United States Postal Service on the date shown below with sufficient postage first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Mail Stop Petition, Commissioner for Patents, P. O. E 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.  Transmitted by facsimile on the date shown below to the United States Patent and Trademark Off at (571) 273-8300.					
Date	Signature				
	Typed or printed name of person signing certificate				

Date: 5/14/2010

JUN 0 4 2010 From:

rom: Dr. Aaron Baum 1304 Grand Avenue San Rafael, CA 94901

To: Gionanna Colan Art Unit 2162 USPTO

Re: Office Action of March 8, 2006 regarding Application #10/662,230

In this office action claims 1-16 of the application (App. No. 10/662,230) were rejected in a non-final action as being anticipated by Eyal et al., US Patent App. Pub. No. 2004/0177096 A1, filed: January 24, 2000. This provisional application has been abandoned and incorporated by reference into US Patent #6,389,467 B1. As this is the only version of Eyal's patent available to the applicants, all references to Eyal below are to US Patent #6,389,467 B1.

The authors of the present application believe that it represents a significant innovation and an improvement on the current state of the art, including that described in Eyal. The present application describes systems and methods for making media recommendations based on user-input criteria (see claims 1 and 9). Eyal also describes, as part of a larger media serving system, means and methods for returning media references based on user-specified criteria, but the methods and means described do not take advantage of lists associating media references with each other. The compilation, storage, and analysis of expert-created lists associating media segment references (such as DJ play-lists), enables the described systems and methods to find media related to search criteria that would not have been found by the means described in Eyal (see

claims 1 and 9 of the present invention). This is a significant innovation and improvement.

, Pr

Eyal describes systems and methods for compiling a database of media resource references, their metadata, and addresses on a network (col. 2, lines 18-20; col. 3, lines 43-46; col. 6, lines 5-10; col. 9, lines 31-37; col. 11, lines 18-19; col. 14, lines 46-49; col. 21, lines 51-57). This is in contrast to the present invention, which describes compiling a database of lists associating media references *with each other*, these lists are then used to find media references frequently listed with those matching user criteria (see claims 1 and 9 of the present application), references that would not have been found using only the criteria-matching approach described in Eyal (col. 26, lines 19-67). The associating lists are not used directly as play-lists for the delivery of media content in the present invention, unlike in Eyal.

Eyal does not describe compiling lists associating media references with each other. The only lists referenced by Eyal (other than the database of media resource references mentioned above) are play-lists which enumerate the individual media resources served to terminals. In Eyal these are generated manually or from the media reference database through matching to metadata or play-list name, possibly with reference to a stored rating based on user input (col. 6, lines 64-67; col. 7, lines 1-6; col. 9, lines 14-21; col. 30, lines 29-37). These techniques of play-list creation (which determine what media references are served to end users) do not take advantage of the information implicit in associating lists, as the present invention does.

The present invention includes the significant improvement of a scoring system for media references/resources based on lists associating media references/resources (such as DJ playlists), an innovation providing performance beyond that of the Eyal invention (see claims 1 and 9 of the present application). The scoring system taps into the associations in the retrieved, parsed, and stored lists (of claims 1 and 9) to generate media references most likely to be relevant to

3

search criteria. The compiled associations between media references in the lists,

which may be for example DJ play lists, give the described system the ability to

find related media that would not be found by simple criteria-matching. The only

scoring mechanisms described by Eyal are media resource ratings based on

user input (col. 6, lines 11-63; col. 7, lines 7-47; col. 13, lines 21-26; col. 28, lines

60-67) and availability ratings (col. 25, lines 4-12). The method for selecting

resources to serve to users is specified as matching search criteria (col. 9, 28-37;

col. 20, lines 23-29; col. 11, lines 51-52; col. 12, lines 43-63) While Eyal

mentions the possibility of automatically selecting media resources (e.g. claims 4

and 5, Eyal), no means of doing so is described beyond matching search criteria

and pre-determined playlists (claims 67 and 68, Eyal).

The use of lists associating media segment references with each other and a

scoring mechanism to find relevant media based on such lists is a significant

innovation and improvement over Eyal and the rest of the current state of the art.

In view of this and the above clarification, the authors ask that claims 1-16 of the

present application be allowed.

**Aaron Wolf Baum** 

Zahariah Ben

3 €

Zachariah Journey Baum